Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6423 Case file # 95-255410.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fibers Review commenced at: 9:45 AM (Time), 11/06/01 (Date) File #: 95-255410 30518029 Laboratory #(s): 30602078 30718059 Examiner(s) & Symbols Not Reviewed Reviewed Not Reviewed Reviewed RQ X□ UL Xロ 0 Materials Reviewed Trial testimony transcript(s) of: none available Testimony Date(s): Pages: Laboratory, Report(s): Laboratory Number: 30518029/30602078 Date: July 14, 1983 Laboratory Number: 30518029/30602078/30718059 July 25, 1983 Date: Laboratory Number: Date: Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unknown technician Laboratory Number: 30518029 30602078

Initials: SW

30718059

Page

<u>CRM - 13413</u>

			• .	
If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: submit 7-11-83	tting agency lette	ers dated :	5-16-83, 5-31-83 and	
•				
Results of Review				
File #: 95-255410	# Reviewed:	Q1-Q10, 1	K, K3	
Review of Laboratory Report	t(s) and Bench	Notes:		
Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab			nses	
 Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a somethods, protocols, and analytic techniques available and Yes. Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory. 	at the time of th □ No X□ U	ne origina nable to I	l examination(s)? Determine	
the bench notes?			Determine	
the bench notes? Review of Test	X□ No □ U			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	imony:	nable to I	Determine	
Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab	imony:	nable to I	Determine	
Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are additional pages for any "No" or "Unab	imony:	nable to I	Determine	
Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?	X□ No □ U	or on	nses	
Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are radditional pages for any "No" or "Unab Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Testimony consistent with the bench notes?	imony: required below ole to Determine	or on e" Respon	nses Unable to Determine	
Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are radditional pages for any "No" or "Unab Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Testimony consistent with the bench notes?	imony: required below oble to Determine	or on e" Respon	□ Unable to Determine □ Unable to Determine	
Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?	imony: required below oble to Determine	or on e" Respon	□ Unable to Determine □ Unable to Determine	
Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?	imony: required below oble to Determine	or on e" Respon	□ Unable to Determine □ Unable to Determine	
Review of Test Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?	imony: required below ole to Determine Yes Yes	or on e" Respon	□ Unable to Determine □ Unable to Determine	

Comments
(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-255410	
#1: With microscopic hair comparison, one cannot determine from the notes that the examina	ation was conducted
in an appropriate manner.	•
#2: Documentation is poor. The notes are not dated and are in pencil and not ink. Abbreviation	ons are used to
describe the microscopic characteristics of the hair. These abbreviations are difficult to interp	pret. One of the hair
recovered from Q3-suspect shirt is marked as "NSFC". Presumably, this means "Not Suitable	e for Comparison",
but there is no documentation as to why these hair are unsuitable. The technician does not do	cument the
recovery of hair from Q3 or any other Q item as stated in the report.	
·	·
Review completed at: 10:15 AM (Time), 11/06/01 (Date)	
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.50 hr.	
I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the reare fully documented on this report consisting of a total of3 pages.	
John Robins	11/06/2001
(Signature)	(Date)

Page

Initials: